DELFI cloud native app as a solution to build the corporate cloud-based storage with wellbore interpretation parameters and prepare the environment for advanced analytics and data mining

Yngve Bolstad Johansen Chief Petrophysicist – Aker BP





DELFI Wellbore Interpretation Insights – Results from a Formation Evaluation Pilot Project



## Introduction-Formation Evaluation



### Todays status vs Preferred status

- Todays Formation Evaluation Workflow
  - Siloed data and expertise
  - Subjectivity in parametrization and answers
    - Experts like different data/wells
    - Experts like different methods
  - Time consuming case by case data mining
    - Why is this unwanted?
      - Poor reproducibility
      - Large variance in quality
      - Poor Standardization
      - Expensive and slow
      - Missed opportunities



Schlumberaer





Todays status vs Preferred status

- Preferred Formation Evaluation Workflow
  - All data at fingertips (No silos)
  - Objectivity in answers
  - Efficient mining of data
    - Mine more data (not only favorite well)
      - Raw data
      - Expert behavior (Parametrization)
      - Use weighted averages from multiple experts
        - Why is this wanted?
          - Reduced variance in formation evaluation quality
          - Standardized and digestible
          - Fast and reproducible answers
          - More opportunities





## Solutions- Why Augmented Learning ? Why a cloud native app with AL algorithms ?

## > Machine Learning

- Mine trends from a perfect database
  - Requires good structure
  - Requires a perfect set of relevant meta data
    - It's hard to build a perfect database
- If not perfect, big data can reduce precision



## Augmented Learning

- Learn from experts
  - Mine behavior, model choices and parametrization from experts
  - Tap into a large amount of meta data on which experts base parameters on
- Apply expert consensus methods semi automatically on new wells



## Concept– Store method and parameter choices of Experts 🧹







Schlumberger

# AkerBP Test of DELFI Wellbore Interpretation Insights App





▲16/1-21S 16/1-21A





Denmark

Utsira

Stavanger

## AkerBP Test of DELFI Wellbore Interpretation Insights App

Schlumberger Techlandd 2010 1 (me 22921) Breiset Techlan 2010 Techan		
Schlumberger rechlogo4 2019-1 (rev. 25821) Project: rechlog_2019_resung		
Home Plot Data Utility Studio Petrophysics Geology Geor	hanics Reservoir Geophysics Unconventionals Wellbore integrity Wellbore interpretation Insights AkerBP Workflow manager	610 A
Send Open 😗 User guide		
inclusion incomp		
Interpretations Advise		
Project browser • •	Tab 1 +	
Q Search	► Workflow manager	×
	Workflow overview Method settings	
	→ + • • • ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
Techlog_2019_Testing A Family Unit	Committee Institute Structure And Institute Contraction Barehole Percepte VSH Saturation TBA NIMR	
V Datasets	Controller input data revolute parameters Outputs data revolute pa	nacimf naci
16/1-21_A	Workflow / Methods Enabled Mc 1 225/10-8 BEST Seabed 225.3 455.2 Oril Based • 100 m • 120 degC • 5 degC/100m •	1 ppk
> A 25/10-16 S	v ++ 25,10-8,Flag v 12 2 25/10-8 BEST Nordland 455.2 682 Oil Based v 100 m v 120 degC v 5 degC/100m v	1 ppk
× A 25/10-8		I ppk
> 🔣 BEST Mea m	H Bad hole flag (Caliper)	• 1 ppk
> 🕟 Index Mea m	■ Bad hole flag (0RH0)	1 ppk
> 🔣 Index_2 Mea m	Portor (2) Bathlete Combiner (2) car (3) (2) (100 best	1 ppk
> TOPS_CPI_TS Mea m		1 ppk
> TOPS_CPI_TS_old Mea m	Contrage (2) 347 (2) 9 25/10-8 BEST Sele 1997 2047 Oil Based - 100 m - 120 degC - 5 degC/100m -	• 1 ppk
> TOPS_CPI_TS_withJurassic Mea m	n - cambrate tag 25/10-8 BEST Upper Lista 2047 2077 Oil Based • 100 m • 120 degC • 5 degC/100m •	1 ppk
Mellbore Interpretation Insights	• V 2, 10-5, VH     • V 2,	<ul> <li>1 ppk</li> </ul>
	EZ Vih gamma ray 2 dis 12 25/10-8 BEST Lower Lista 2122 2204 Oil Based ▼ 100 m ▼ 120 degC ▼ 5 degC/100m ▼	<ul> <li>1 ppk</li> </ul>
	EE Vih neutron-density ✓ dis <sup>600</sup> 13 25/10-8 BEST Vasle 2204 2209 Oil Based ▼ 100 m ▼ 120 degC ▼ 5 degC/100m ·	1 ppk
		1 ррк
		1 ppk
	Python: CPL deanstark_correction dis # 17 25/10-8 BEST Jurassic (Gas) 2371.8 2381.2 Oil Based • 100 m • 120 deqC • 5 deqC/100m •	• 1 ppk
	✓ ♦> 25_10-8_T5 ✓ dis 18 25/10-8 BEST Jurassic (Oil) 2381.2 2507 Oil Based ▼ 100 m ▼ 120 degC ▼ 5 degC/100m ▼	1 ppk
	Python: CPLts_johansen Sav 19 25/10-8 BEST Heather 2507 2511 Oil Based • 100 m • 120 degC • 5 degC/100m •	<ul> <li>1 ppk</li> </ul>
	Python: CPI_ts_support_computations 2 sav       20     25/10-8     BEST     Hugin     2511     2531     2531     0il Based • 100     m     • 120     degC • 5     degC/100m •	I ppk
	v № 25,10-8,Well Statistics 21 22/10-8 BST Skagerrak 2 235.7 2567.25 Oil Based v 100 m v 120 degC v 5 degC/100m v	1 ppk
	(	1 ррк
		г ррк
	Pothon CPI create CPI OIIT Gis	
Project browser Zone-type catalog		
Zonation • •		
Zonation:		
Control Control		
Utsira		
Nordland		
Upper Hordaland (WBM)		> C.
Upper Hordaland (OBM)		
Gnd	we worknow manager BE C2-to-so (west C2-to-s)	_
	Unit system: Company Metric_AkerBP	RAM





# Augmented Learned Interpretation vs. AkerBP Test Reference Interpretation

(DELFI Wellbore Interpretation Insights App)



## AL based method vs AkerBP Expert

## ML based method vs AkerBP Expert



### Conclusions

#### **Cloud Environment**

It is perceived as useful to aggregate methods and parameters used by experts



### **Mining Expert behavior**

Adds valuable information on how to do interpretations have been done in the past, and identify inconsistencies



#### Augmented parameter advisor

Advise parameters to be used when working with new wells. Reduced interpretation time 90%



AL outperformed ML (NN) at this specific AkerBP testcase







